

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,180	12/22/1999	JAY MERVES	P/2167-112	5863	
2352	7590 06/19/2003				
	K FABER GERB & S	OFFEN	EXAMINER		
	E OF THE AMERICAS NY 100368403		RICE, KEN	RICE, KENNETH R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	<b>,</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/470,180 Merves et al. Group Art Unit Kenneth R. Rice 3627

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Response					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE <b>3 MONTHS</b> FROM				
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ethe mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response with If NO period of response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause</li> </ul>	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status					
<ul> <li>☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _4/11/03</li> <li>☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11</li> </ul>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>☑ Claim(s) _1-44 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>□ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.</li> </ul>	n.				
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, P</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ approved</li> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	☐ disapproved.				
Status of Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prioric copies.</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No.</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International stage application from the Internation from the International stage application from the Intern</li></ul>	ority documents have been				
Attachment(s)					
<ul> <li>□ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449</li> <li>☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>□ Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> <li>□ Other</li> </ul>				
Office Acti n Summary					

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No. 4

Serial Number 09/470,150 Art Unit 3627

## PART III: REASONS FOR REJECTIONS AND OBJECTIONS

The following is a quotation of 35 USC 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 USC 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 USC 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 USC 103.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Brauns et al. Brauns et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Brauns et al does not disclose posting the reports on a computer network. It is common knowledge in the prior art to post reports on a computer network in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing those reports to many users. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to post the reports of Brauns et al on a computer network in order to provide the reports to many users.

Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Barton, III et al. Barton, III et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Barton, III et al does not disclose posting the reports on a computer network. It is common knowledge in the prior art to post reports on a computer network in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of providing those reports to many users. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to post the reports of Barton, III et al on a computer network in order to provide the reports to many users.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Rice at (703) 308-3495. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 308-1113.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3627